

ARNOLD ARBORETUM
HARVARD UNIVERSITY

FLORICULTURE



BULLETIN
OF POPULAR INFORMATION

SERIES 4. VOL. VII

NOVEMBER 3, 1939

NUMBER 10

SALT WATER INJURY OF WOODY PLANTS RESULTING
FROM THE HURRICANE OF SEPTEMBER 21, 1938

SINCE last year's Hurricane, it has been particularly interesting to note the rapidity with which certain trees and shrubs recovered from salt water injury. Many plants have shown a remarkable ability to withstand immersion in salt water for twenty-four hours and longer. Because of this, a study was made of certain sections around Woods Hole and Falmouth, in Massachusetts; Newport, Rhode Island; and places along the north shore of Massachusetts, a year after the hurricane, in an attempt to see how well injured plants were recovering. As a result of these observations, the appended lists are presented. These data were compiled on special trips to the areas indicated and have been checked by several individuals who have been doing landscape work in the regions. Mr. Wilfred Wheeler, of Hatchville, Massachusetts, has been particularly observant and helpful, and assisted materially with his important observations and has also checked the lists.

Lawns

Many lawns were flooded with salt water, and in the spring anxious property holders sent many soil samples to the Rhode Island Experiment Station for analysis. Several of these samples were analyzed but in no case was a sufficiently heavy accumulation of salts found to cause permanent injury to the soil. No great accumulation of salt in the soil took place because the ground was thoroughly saturated with water before the storm struck. It had been raining steadily during the four days preceeding the storm, and in the months following there was plenty of rain and snow, so that much of the salt remaining after the storm was leached out before spring. However, there were many cases

where either the grass foliage or the grass roots or both were killed.

Standard practice for renovating immersed lawns was to apply ground limestone at the rate of 20-50 pounds per thousand square feet and thoroughly water the areas after the limestone was applied. If the grass roots were not killed, new growth appeared in the spring. If the grass roots were killed, the soil was dug up and the affected areas were reseeded, standard applications of regularly recommended fertilizers being made at the same time. Different grasses reacted in different ways. Bent grass and Kentucky Blue grass were easily killed, while the omnipresent crabgrass eventually appeared even after being submerged 24 hours or more. Several areas around Woods Hole where salt water had stood for 24 hours, observed one year later, were a good green—from a distance. On close examination, the grasses and weeds making this green color were of some of the coarser and more objectionable sorts, but, nevertheless, the fact remains that they were not killed and made an excellent recovery.

Trees and Shrubs

Although considerable care was taken in observing the extent of salt water injury on trees and shrubs, conditions differed widely, and plants that may have suffered severe damage in one place may have been unharmed in others. This may be on account of variations in soil, drainage, and the length of time the salt water stood about the plant roots. Salt-spray injury also differed widely, perhaps chiefly because of varying degrees in exposure, wind velocities at the time of the storm, height, age and condition of the plants, and other factors.

Consequently, the information included herein should be taken only at its face value. It merely represents observations made in areas where salt water damage to woody plants was greatest. Many commonly grown plants were not observed in the flooded areas examined; consequently numerous additions to the lists can and should be made as additional data becomes available. Fortunately, with hurricanes in the east spaced 100 years apart, it is not necessary that the fear of another in the immediate future should govern present seashore planting. Since continual planting is being done, it is hoped that the following lists may prove helpful to those engaged in selecting the right kind of plant material for exposed seashore situations. It is very seldom that such a golden opportunity is offered to study the effects of wide-spread salt water damage to woody plants, and, since the opportunity has presented itself, it was thought advisable to take advantage of it and make careful notes on individual species before the damage became minimized by the soothing effects of time.



PLATE VIII

Pictures taken in Eel Pond swamp, Woods Hole, September 29, 1939.

At the top, Sycamore maple, *Acer pseudoplatanus* is growing unharmed though its roots were submerged with salt water for 24 hours. Nearby, red maple, elm and birch have been severely injured.

Lower picture shows red maple and *Pinus rigida* killed, while white willow, bayberry, azalea and various grasses are growing well.

The following plants were submerged in salt water for at least 24 hours after the hurricane and were recovering satisfactorily when observed one year later.

(In all cases the roots were submerged, and in many cases the plants themselves or portions of them. Satisfactory recovery means that, although injured, these plants were sending out vigorous suckers from the base or from the larger stems, or the tops were sending out new shoots. It should be noted here that if the inundation had come after a long drought and the soil had not been saturated with water, there might have been a considerably greater injury.)

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> | <i>Prunus martima</i> |
| <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | <i>Prunus serotina</i> |
| <i>Ailanthus altissima</i> | <i>Prunus virginiana</i> |
| <i>Aronia arbutifolia</i> | <i>Pyrus communis</i> |
| <i>Calluna vulgaris</i> | <i>Quercus alba</i> |
| <i>Campsis radicans</i> | <i>Rhododendron viscosum</i> |
| <i>Catalpa speciosa</i> | <i>Rhus aromatica</i> (<i>R. canadensis</i>) |
| <i>Clematis paniculata</i> | <i>Rhus copallina</i> |
| <i>Clethra alnifolia</i> | <i>Rhus glabra</i> |
| <i>Comptonia asplenifolia</i> | <i>Rhus toxicodendron</i> |
| <i>Corylus americana</i> | <i>Rhus typhina</i> |
| <i>Cryptomeria japonica</i> | <i>Rhus vernix</i> |
| <i>Hibiscus syriacus</i> | <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> |
| <i>Ilex glabra</i> | <i>Rosa</i> (Ramblers) |
| <i>Juniperus chinensis pfitzeriana</i> | <i>Rosa rugosa</i> |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> | <i>Rosa virginiana</i> |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana glauca</i> | <i>Rosa wichuraiana</i> |
| <i>Ligustrum amurense</i> | <i>Salix alba</i> |
| <i>Ligustrum ovalifolium</i> | <i>Sambucus canadensis</i> |
| <i>Malus sylvestris</i> | <i>Smilax glauca</i> |
| <i>Myrica pensylvanica</i> | <i>Spiraea prunifolia</i> |
| (<i>M. carolinensis</i>) | <i>Tamarix parviflora</i> |
| <i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> | <i>Tilia cordata</i> |
| <i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i> | <i>Ulmus pumila</i> |
| <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | <i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i> |
| <i>Picea canadensis</i> | <i>Viburnum dentatum</i> |
| <i>Picea pungens kosteri</i> | <i>Vitis labrusca</i> |
| <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> | <i>Wisteria sinensis</i> |
| <i>Pinus thunbergi</i> | |



PLATE IX

The Japanese Black Pine (*P.thunbergii*) was outstanding in its resistance to salt water. This one, only a few hundred feet from the shore at Woods Hole, was exposed to the worst of salt spray and was even covered with salt water, yet it came through in perfect condition.

**Plants subjected to salt spray and either uninjured
or not injured seriously**

(The hurricane came only a few weeks before most deciduous trees dropped their leaves; consequently, salt spray injury was comparatively worse on the evergreens. The amount of salt spray varied considerably in different locations, and many of the plants in this list would be injured in one place and uninjured in another. Many other plants may have weathered salt spray injury satisfactorily but do not appear on this list because they were not observed. These facts should be kept in mind when studying the list.)

| | |
|--|---|
| <i>Acer platanoides</i> | <i>Kalmia angustifolia</i> |
| <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> | <i>Ligustrum amurense</i> |
| <i>Actinidia arguta</i> | <i>Lonicera japonica halliana</i> |
| <i>Ailanthus altissima</i> | <i>Lonicera morrowi</i> |
| <i>Amelanchier canadensis</i> | <i>Lonicera tatarica</i> |
| <i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i> | <i>Malus sylvestris</i> |
| <i>Baccharis halimifolia</i> | <i>Myrica pensylvanica</i> |
| <i>Cedrus atlantica glauca</i> | (<i>M. carolinensis</i>) |
| <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i> | <i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i> |
| <i>Chamaecyparis pisifera plumosa</i> | <i>Physocarpus opulifolius</i> |
| <i>Chamaecyparis pisiferasquarrosa</i> (damaged somewhat) | <i>Picea abies</i> |
| <i>Clethra alnifolia</i> | <i>Picea asperata</i> |
| <i>Crataegus crus-galli</i> | <i>Picea canadensis</i> |
| <i>Cytisus scoparius</i> | <i>Picea glauca</i> |
| <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | <i>Picea orientalis</i> |
| <i>Elaeagnus longipes</i> | <i>Picea pungens kosteri</i> |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> | <i>Pieris japonica</i> |
| <i>Forsythia species</i> | <i>Pinus mugo mughus</i> (varied responses on different soils) |
| <i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> | <i>Pinus nigra</i> |
| <i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i> (<i>H. hortensis</i>) | <i>Pinus thunbergi</i> |
| <i>Ilex glabra</i> | <i>Populus alba</i> |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | <i>Prunus maritima</i> |
| <i>Juniperus communis</i> | <i>Pyrus communis</i> |
| <i>Juniperus communis depressa</i> | <i>Quercus marilandica</i> |
| <i>Juniperus excelsa stricta</i> | <i>Rhamnus cathartica</i> |
| <i>Juniperus horizontalis</i> | <i>Rhus copallina</i> |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> | <i>Rhus glabra</i> |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana glauca</i> | <i>Rhus toxicodendron</i> |
| | <i>Rhus typhina</i> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> | <i>Taxus</i> species and varieties (even |
| <i>Rosa</i> (ramblers) | took submergence for 2-3 |
| <i>Rosa blanda</i> | days in some instances though |
| <i>Rosa humilis</i> | they did not respond as well |
| <i>Rosa nitida</i> | as Pfitzer's juniper). |
| <i>Rosa rugosa</i> | <i>Tilia americana</i> |
| <i>Rosa virginiana</i> | <i>Tilia cordata</i> |
| <i>Rosa wichuraiana</i> | <i>Tilia vulgaris</i> |
| <i>Salix humilis</i> | <i>Thuja occidentalis</i> varieties |
| <i>Sambucus canadensis</i> | <i>Ulmus pumila</i> |
| <i>Spiraea</i> species | <i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i> |
| <i>Syringa vulgaris</i> (if submerged, | <i>Viburnum cassinoides</i> |
| it was killed) | <i>Viburnum dentatum</i> |
| <i>Tamarix parviflora</i> | <i>Wisteria sinensis</i> |

Plants killed or very seriously injured by salt water

(If salt water stood on the soil long enough it would kill all the roots of most trees and shrubs, except a very few like *Baccharis*. The plants listed below were killed or seriously injured by salt spray, by submergence in salt water, or by both.)

| | |
|--|---|
| <i>Abies concolor</i> | <i>Lyonia ligustrina</i> |
| <i>Abies pinsapo</i> | <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> |
| <i>Acer rubrum</i> | <i>Pinus rigida</i> |
| <i>Azaleas</i> (evergreen types) | <i>Pinus strobus</i> |
| <i>Berberis thunbergii</i> | <i>Pseudotsuga taxifolia</i> |
| <i>Betula papyrifera</i> | (<i>P. douglasi</i>) |
| <i>Betula populifolia</i> | <i>Rhododendrons</i> (evergreen |
| <i>Buxus sempervirens</i> | types) |
| <i>Buxus sempervirens suffruticosa</i> | <i>Rosa</i> —(Any grafted or budded |
| <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i> | rose) Injury may have been |
| <i>Chamaecyparis</i> species and | due to sudden freeze late in |
| varieties | the fall, more than to salt |
| <i>Clematis virginiana</i> | water injury. |
| <i>Euonymus</i> species and varieties | <i>Sassafras albidum</i> (<i>S. officinale</i>) |
| <i>Ginkgo biloba</i> | (but coming up from roots) |
| <i>Hedera helix</i> | <i>Tsuga canadensis</i> |
| <i>Larix decidua</i> | <i>Ulmus americana</i> |
| <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> | <i>Ulmus fulva</i> |
| <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> | <i>Weigela</i> species and varieties |

NOTE

Through the support received from a number of friends of the Arnold Arboretum it has been possible to establish a Fellowship for 1939-40 which has been assigned to Miss Luetta Chen, a Chinese student taking graduate work in botany in Radcliffe College. This has been designated the "George B. Emerson Fellowship" in memory of Mr. Emerson, one of the trustees of the James Arnold estate, and the individual who actually suggested the establishment of the Arnold Arboretum. Because of his enthusiasm and interest, the trustees of the Arnold estate were inspired to transfer the initial endowment of \$100,000 to Harvard University, provided the University would set aside a part of the Bussey farm as a site and foster the establishment of the Arnold Arboretum.

DONALD WYMAN